Chemical Name: Diphacinone

**CAS Number**: 82-66-6

**PC Code**: 067701

### Citations:

Teeters, W.R. (1981) Diphacinone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 51. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

Teeters, W.R. (1981) Diphacinone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 75. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

Purpose of Review: Litigation/Endangered Species

Date of Data Review: 5/15/11

# **Brief Summary of Study Findings:**

## Methods

Both studies were conducted in the same lab using very similar methodology. An outline of the available methodology information for each study is provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Study me Beltsville Lab.	thods for the Albino rat feeding studies	with diphacinone conducted at
	Test 51	Test 75
Date started	1/16/80	6/20/80
active ingredient	99.9%	
Mixing in feed	Diphacinone mixed with 40 g corn oil, added to mash to make 2000 g of diet	Diphacinone mixed with 40 g corn oil, added to mash to make 2000 g of diet
Species tested	Albino rats	
Test animal weight range	93-120 g	91-120 g
Number of animals per concentration	5 male and 5 female	
Number of control animals	5 male and 5 female	

	Test 51	Test 75		
Test	2.1	0.71	-	
concentrations	3.5	1.21		
	5.9	2.06		
	10.0	3.50		
=	17.0 mg a.i./kg-diet	5.95 mg a.i./kg-diet	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Length of test	5 days pre-test			
	5 days treated feed			
	9 days post-trt			
Environmental	rironmental Individually caged, no other laboratory conditions reported			
conditions	10			
Variables	Date of death	-		
recorded	Weight start of pre-treatment			
	Weight start of treatment			
	Weight start of post- treatment			
	Final weight (end of test or at death)			
	Food consumption during each of the three observation intervals			

## Results

ToxAnal2009 was used to determine the  $LC_{50}$  and slope (if possible) for each of the studies; statistical output at end of data review. These results are reported in Table 2. Test number 75 resulted in the lowest  $LC_{50}$  (2.08 mg ai/kg-diet) and will be used for risk estimation.

Table 2. Summary of test results f or the Albino rat feeding studies conducted at Beltsville Lab.					
Test Number	LC <sub>50</sub> (95% CI)	Probit slope (95% CI)			
51	2.54 (1.79, 3.19) mg a.i./kg-diet	6.7 (2.2,11.2)			
75	2.08 (1.57, 2.76) mg a.i./kg-diet	4.2 (2.3, 6.1)			

No control animals died during the study. Animals were followed for 14 days after the starting the treated diet (5 days treated diet, followed by 9 days clean diet). All mortalities occurred between days 3 and 12 after the feeding of treated diet started, with a majority of mortalities occurring on days 4, 5, 6, or 7. Although the study reports included data on body weight and food consumption, statistical analysis was not conducted for these parameters because of the high rate of mortality.

**Description of Use in Document:** Both studies are classified as Supplemental. Data can be used quantitatively in risk assessments, but do not meet any OSCPP 850 series guideline protocols.

Rationale for Use: These are the only available short-term feeding studies for mammals.

Limitations of Study: Details regarding laboratory conditions are not provided. Occurrence of sublethal signs of toxicity not reported. Necropsy results not reported.

Reviewer: Christine Hartless, OPP/EFED/ERB2

5-17-12

5/17/12

Secondary Review: Elizabeth Riley, OPP/EFED/ERB6 Shebth Ru

3

#### TNM 51

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* BINOMIAL CONC. NUMBER NUMBER PERCENT EXPOSED DEAD PROB. (PERCENT) DEAD 17 10 10 100 9.765625E-02 10 100 9.765625E-02 10 10 5.9 10 10 100 9.765625E-02 3.5 10 8 80 5.46875 17.1875 2.1 10 3 30

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 0 AND 5.9 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 2.565211

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1 .8025485 2.565211 1.155361 3.63299

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY
6 .445542 1 .9897495

SLOPE = 6.706074

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.229841 AND 11.18231

INTERCEPT=-2.721569

LC50 = 2.545871

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.787613 AND 3.193944

LC25 = 2.019556

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .9898407 AND 2.501664

LC10 = 1.639555

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .5461539 AND 2.137601

LC05 = 1.447276

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .3792486 AND 1.962919

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### TNM75

****	*****	******	*****	********
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
5.95	10	9	90	1.074219
3.5	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
2.06	10	4	40	37.69531
1.21	10	2	20	5.46875
.71	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .71 AND 3.5 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 2.203218

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

 SPAN
 G
 LC50
 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

 4
 .1687796
 1.915214
 1.372364
 2.594997

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY
4 .1988789 1 .1868103

SLOPE = 4.230127

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.343666 AND 6.116588

INTERCEPT=-1.347415

LC50 = 2.082228

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.574244 AND 2.755374

LC25 = 1.44237

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .9315541 AND 1.861975

LC10 = 1.036475

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .5404182 AND 1.406561

LC05 = .8505008

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .3847466 AND 1.205881